



5.3 HAZARD RANKING

2016 HMP UPDATE CHANGES

- The 2011 HMP hazard ranking was presented in Section 3. For the 2016 HMP update, the hazard ranking is now presented in subsection 5.3 (Risk Assessment – Hazard Ranking).
- The 2016 HMP update hazard ranking methodology was expanded to include probability of occurrence and impact to population and economy and is based on an improved vulnerability assessment based on structure-specific data available from the county rather than HAZUS-MH default aggregate data as discussed in Section 5.1, Methodology.

After the hazards of concern were identified for Sussex County, the hazards were ranked to describe their probability of occurrence and their impact on population, property (general building stock including critical facilities) and the economy. Each participating town, township, or borough may have differing degrees of risk exposure and vulnerability compared to the county as a whole; therefore, each jurisdiction ranked the degree of risk to each hazard as it pertains to their community using the same methodology as applied to the county-wide ranking. This assured consistency in the overall ranking of risk process. The hazard ranking for the county and each participating jurisdiction can be found in their jurisdictional annex in Volume II of this plan.

5.3.1 HAZARD RANKING METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to rank the hazards of concern for Sussex County is described below. Estimates of risk for the county were developed using methodologies promoted by FEMA’s hazard mitigation planning guidance and generated by FEMA’s HAZUS-MH risk assessment tool.

Probability of Occurrence

The probability of occurrence is an estimate of how often a hazard event occurs. A review of historic events assists with this determination. Each hazard of concern is rated in accordance with the numerical ratings and definitions in Table 5.3-1.

Table 5.3-1. Probability of Occurrence Ranking Factors

Rating	Probability Category	Definition
1	Rare	Hazard event is not likely to occur within 100 years (>1% chance of occurrence in any given year)
2	Occasional	Hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years (1% chance of occurrence in any given year)
3	Frequent	Hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years (4% chance of occurrence in any given year)

Impact

The impact of each hazard is considered in three categories: impact on population, impact on property (general building stock including critical facilities), and impact on the economy. Based on documented historic losses and a subjective assessment by the Planning Committee, an impact rating of high, medium, or low is assigned with a corresponding numeric value for each hazard of concern. In addition, a weighting factor is assigned to each impact category: three (3) for population, two (2) for property, and one (1) for economy. This gives the



impact on population the greatest weight in evaluating the impact of a hazard. Table 5.3-2 presents the numerical rating, weighted factor and description for each impact category

Table 5.3-2. Numerical Values and Definitions for Impacts on Population, Property and Economy

Category	Weighting Factor	Low Impact* (1)	Medium Impact (2)	High Impact (3)
Population	3	14% or less of your population is exposed to a hazard with potential for measurable life safety impact, due to its extent and location	15% to 29% of your population is exposed to a hazard with potential for measurable life safety impact, due to its extent and location	30% or more of your population is exposed to a hazard with potential for measurable life safety impact, due to its extent and location
Property	2	Property exposure is 14% or less of the total replacement cost for your community	Property exposure is 15% to 29% of the total replacement cost for your community	Property exposure is 30% or more of the total replacement cost for your community
Economy	1	Loss estimate is 9% or less of the total replacement cost for your community	Loss estimate is 10% to 19% of the total replacement cost for your community	Loss estimate is 20% or more of the total replacement cost for your community

Note: A numerical value of zero is assigned if there is no impact.

*For the purposes of this exercise, "impacted" means exposed for population and property and loss for economy.

Risk Ranking Value

The risk ranking for each hazard is then calculated by multiplying the numerical value for probability of occurrence by the sum of the numerical values for impact. The equation is as follows: Weighting Factor (1, 2, or 3) × Impact Value (6 to 18) = Hazard Ranking Value. Based on the total for each hazard, a priority ranking is assigned to each hazard of concern (high, medium, or low).

5.3.2 HAZARD RANKING RESULTS

Using the process described above, the risk ranking for the identified hazards of concern was determined for Sussex County. Based on the combined risk values for probability of occurrence and impact to Sussex County, a priority ranking of "high", "medium" or "low" risk was assigned. The hazard ranking for the Sussex County planning area is detailed in the subsequent tables that present the step-wise process for the ranking. The county-wide risk ranking includes the entire planning area and may not reflect the highest risk indicated for any of the participating jurisdictions. The resulting ranks of each municipality indicate the differing degrees of risk exposure, and vulnerability. The results support the appropriate selection and prioritization of initiatives to reduce the highest levels of risk for each municipality. Both the county and the participating jurisdictions have applied the same methodology to develop the county-wide risk and local rankings to ensure consistency in the overall ranking of risk.

This risk ranking exercise serves two purposes: 1) to describe the probability of occurrence for each hazard, and 2) to describe the impact each would have on the people, property and economy of Sussex County. Estimates of risk for the county were developed using methodologies promoted by FEMA's hazard mitigation planning guidance and generated by FEMA's HAZUS-MH risk assessment tool.

Table 5.3-3 shows the probability ranking assigned for likelihood of occurrence for each hazard.



Table 5.3-3. Probability of Occurrence Ranking for Hazards of Concern for Sussex County

Hazard of Concern	Probability	Numeric Value
Dam Failure	Occasional	2
Drought	Frequent	3
Earthquake	Occasional	2
Flood	Frequent	3
Geologic	Frequent	3
Hurricane/Tropical Storm	Frequent	3
Nor'Easter	Frequent	3
Severe Weather	Frequent	3
Severe Winter Weather	Frequent	3
Wildfire	Frequent	3
Hazardous Materials	Frequent	3

Table 5.3-4 shows the impact evaluation results for each hazard of concern, including impact on property, structures, and the economy on the county level. It is noted that several hazards that have a high impact on the local jurisdictional level, may have a lower impact when analyzed county-wide. Jurisdictional ranking results are presented in each local annex in Section 9 of this plan. The weighting factor results and a total impact for each hazard also are summarized.



Table 5.3-4. Impact Ranking for Hazards of Concern for Sussex County

Hazard of Concern	Population			Property			Economy			Total Impact Rating (Population + Property + Economy)
	Impact	Numeric Value	Multiplied by Weighing Factor (3)	Impact	Numeric Value	Multiplied by Weighing Factor (2)	Impact	Numeric Value	Multiplied by Weighing Factor (1)	
Dam Failure	Medium	2	3 x 2 = 6	Medium	2	2 x 2 = 4	Medium	2	1 x 2 = 2	12
Drought	Medium	2	3 x 2 = 6	Low	1	2 x 1 = 2	Medium	2	1 x 2 = 2	10
Earthquake	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	Medium	2	2 x 2 = 4	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	14
Flood	Low	1	3 x 1 = 3	Low	1	2 x 1 = 2	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	6
Geologic	Medium	2	3 x 2 = 6	Medium	2	2 x 2 = 4	High	3	3 x 1 = 3	13
Hurricane / Tropical Storm	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	High	3	2 x 3 = 6	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	16
Nor'Easter	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	High	3	2 x 3 = 6	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	16
Severe Weather	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	High	3	2 x 3 = 6	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	16
Severe Winter Weather	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	High	3	2 x 3 = 6	Medium	2	1 x 2 = 2	17
Wildfire	Low	1	3 x 1 = 3	Medium	2	2 x 2 = 4	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	8
Hazardous Materials	High	3	3 x 3 = 9	Low	1	2 x 1 = 2	Low	1	1 x 1 = 1	12



Table 5.3-5 presents the total ranking value for each hazard.

Table 5.3-5. Total Risk Ranking Value for Hazards of Concern for Sussex County

Hazard of Concern	Probability	Impact	Total = (Probability x Impact)
Dam Failure	2	12	24
Drought	3	10	30
Earthquake	2	14	28
Flood	3	6	18
Geologic	3	13	39
Hurricane / Tropical Storm	3	16	48
Nor'Easter	3	16	48
Severe Weather	3	16	48
Severe Winter Weather	3	17	51
Wildfire	3	8	24
Hazardous Materials	3	12	36

Refer to Section 9 for the hazard ranking category by jurisdiction assigned for each hazard of concern. The ranking categories are determined by an evaluation of the total risk ranking score into three categories (low, medium and high) whereby a score of 14 and below is categorized as low, 15 to 30 is medium, and 31 and over is considered a high risk category.

These rankings have been used as one of the bases for identifying the jurisdictional hazard mitigation strategies included in Section 9 of this plan. The summary rankings for the county reflect the results of the vulnerability analysis for each hazard of concern and vary from the specific results of each jurisdiction. For example the dam failure hazard may be ranked high in one jurisdiction, but due to the exposure and impact county-wide, it is ranked as a medium hazard and is addressed in the county mitigation strategy accordingly

The hazard rankings indicated in this plan update have been adjusted from the 2011 plan due to the improved vulnerability assessment based on structure-specific data available from the county rather than HAZUS default aggregate data as discussed in Section 5.1, Methodology. Any changes to the ranking results therefore do not necessarily reflect significant changes in exposure, but a more refined vulnerability analysis methodology. The summary county-level values reflect the vulnerability data on the county level and do not represent an average of jurisdiction ranks or the highest rank indicated in Sussex County. These designations are an element of the prioritization criteria as detailed in Section 6 of this plan.